Case 2	11-cv-01742-GHK-AGR Document 1 Filed	02/28/11 Page 1 of 15 Page ID #:12
		CONFORMED
		COPY
1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SU	LLIVAN LLP 😄 🙃
2	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SU Harold A. Barza (Bar No. 080888) halbarza@quinnemanuel.com	CCERT T
3	joepaunovich@quinnemanuel.com	105 EB 2
5	Joseph M. Paunovich (Bar No. 228222) joepaunovich@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	FILED 28 PM 3: BIST. OF C AMORUES
. 6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Moldex-Metric, Inc	
7		
8	I INITED OT ATEC	DICTRICT COURT
9		DISTRICT COURT
10		CT OF CALIFORNIA
11	WESTERN	DIVISION
12		CV11_01742
13	MOLDEX-METRIC, INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. 1 1 - 0 17 42 GHK (AG
14	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:
15	VS.	(1) FEDERAL TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND UNFAIR
16		COMPETITION
	MCKEON PRODUCTS, INC., a Michigan Corporation,	(2) COMMON LAW TRADEMARK
17 18	Defendant.	INFRINGEMENT AND UNFAIR COMPETITION
19	***	(3) STATE UNFAIR COMPETITION
		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	. CC	DMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES

Plaintiff Moldex-Metric, Inc. ("MOLDEX"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, for its Complaint against Defendant McKeon Products, Inc.

("MCKEON"), alleges as follows:

I. THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff MOLDEX is a California corporation with a principal place of business at 10111 West Jefferson Boulevard, Culver City, California 90232.
- 2. MOLDEX is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant MCKEON is an Michigan corporation with a principal place of business at 25460 Guenther, Warren, MI 48091, and at all times relevant hereto was and is doing business in the State of California sufficient to give rise to personal jurisdiction in this forum.

II. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction); 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (diversity jurisdiction); 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) and (b) because this action arises under the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1051 1127); and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).
- 4. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over MCKEON because it conducts business within the State of California and has committed the acts of trademark and trade dress infringement and federal and state unfair competition that have given rise to this action in this district. MCKEON has established minimum contacts with the forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over it would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because, on information and belief, MCKEON conducts business within this judicial district and/or a substantial part of the events giving rise to the alleged claims in this action occurred in this judicial district.

III. FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

- 6. Since 1982, MOLDEX has been engaged in the business of manufacturing and selling earplugs. Today, MOLDEX is one of the premier sellers of foam earplug products throughout the world. The very first foam earplug MOLDEX began selling in 1982 was bright green in color. For more than 28 years, MOLDEX has continuously used a bright green color ("the Green Color Mark") to identify its earplugs and to distinguish its earplug products from those made and sold by others. Today, MOLDEX sells disposable earplugs bearing the Green Color Mark under the name "Pura-Fit®."
- 7. MOLDEX's earplug products have a strong reputation in the industry for being of extremely high quality.
- 8. To create further public awareness of MOLDEX's Green Color Mark, MOLDEX has expended substantial time, effort and money advertising and promoting the Green Color Mark in conjunction with its Pura-Fit® disposable earplug and other earplug products which incorporate the Green Color Mark, both throughout the United States and around the world.
- 9. As a result of MOLDEX's promotional and marketing efforts, the Green Color Mark has become widely known and extremely valuable goodwill has developed in it. By virtue of this promotion and marketing, and the substantial sales of the Pura-Fit® earplug and other earplug products bearing the Green Color Mark, the Green Color Mark has become distinctive of MOLDEX's ear plug products and is identified with MOLDEX's goodwill and reputation.
- 10. MOLDEX is informed and believes and thereon alleges that MCKEON has for many years been in the business of manufacturing and selling personal hearing protection products.
- 11. MOLDEX is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that within the past year MCKEON introduced and began selling bright green disposable and reuseable earplugs under the name "Snore BLOCKERS Soft Foam Earplugs."

"HearPlugs Hi-Fidelity Earplugs," and "Shooters Corded Foam Earplugs" (the "Accused Earplug Products") throughout the United States that are intended to compete with MOLDEX's Pura-Fit® earplug and other earplug products bearing the Green Color Mark.

- 12. MOLDEX is informed and believes and thereon alleges that MCKEON targeted MOLDEX's disposable and reusable earplug product line because it knows that MOLDEX's earplugs have a strong reputation in the industry for being of extremely high quality and that MCKEON chose to use a mark and trade dress confusingly similar to MOLDEX's earplugs with which they are now competing in order to trade on MOLDEX's goodwill by confusing the marketplace into believing that their hearing protection line is manufactured by, affiliated with or sponsored by MOLDEX.
- 13. On information and belief, MCKEON promotes, markets and distributes its hearing protection line, including the Accused Earplug Products, in the same marketing channels as MOLDEX.
- 14. MCKEON's promotion, marketing and sale of its Accused Earplug Products is causing and will continuing causing irreparable harm to MOLDEX's reputation and goodwill and to the value of MOLDEX's Green Color Mark unless MCKEON'S conduct is enjoined.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Federal Trademark Infringement and Unfair Competition)

- 15. MOLDEX realleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 14 of this Complaint.
- 16. MCKEON makes, imports, distributes, uses, offers to sell and/or sells in the United States, including this district, bright green earplugs that directly infringe Moldex's Green Color Mark in violation of § 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) and Federal Unfair Competition laws.

- 17. Moldex has actively marketed, promoted, and continuously sold earplugs with the Green Color Mark for more than 28 years such that it has become distinctive of MOLDEX's goods. MCKEON has used Moldex's Green Color Mark without its authorization and continues to trade off the goodwill and reputation created and maintained by Moldex in the Green Color Mark.
- 18. The contemporaneous use by MCKEON of a bright green color mark and trade dress for its earplugs will inevitably lead, if it has not already done so, to confusion and mistake in the trade and deception of purchasers and to confusion as to the origin, sponsorship or affiliation of MCKEON's goods. MOLDEX's customers may erroneously conclude that MOLDEX sponsors or is responsible for MCKEON's goods and those customers may have occasion to purchase MCKEON's goods erroneously, believing that MOLDEX's standards of quality and service apply to those goods, thereby doing great and irreparable harm to MOLDEX's reputation.
- 19. The acts of MCKEON set out above constitute a false designation of origin, sponsorship and chain of distribution of such goods and a false or misleading description or representation of those goods.
- 20. By reason of the acts alleged herein, MOLDEX has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to their business, reputation and good will and monetary damages in an amount not yet determined. MCKEON's actions have caused, and unless MCKEON is enjoined by this Court, its actions will continue to cause irreparable harm and injury to Moldex. Accordingly, Moldex lacks an adequate remedy at law and it is entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116.
- 21. MCKEON's conduct described herein is willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive and in conscious disregard of MOLDEX's rights in its Green Color Mark, and Moldex is entitled to damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, including MCKEON's profits, Moldex's actual damages and the costs of this action, punitive and exemplary damages and reasonable attorneys' fees.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

2 3

(Common Law Trademark Infringement and Unfair Competition)

4

through 21 of this Complaint.

22.

5

6

MCKEON's acts alleged herein constitute unfair competition and 23.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MOLDEX realleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1

- infringement of MOLDEX's common law rights in its Green Color Mark. MCKEON has caused, and is causing, monetary harm to Moldex. In 24.
- addition, and independently, MCKEON has caused, and is causing, irreparable harm to Moldex, and unless permanently restrained by this Court, said irreparable injury will continue.
- 25. MCKEON's conduct described herein is willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive and in conscious disregard of MOLDEX's rights in its Green Color Mark, justifying the imposition of punitive and exemplary damages.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(State Unfair Competition)

- MOLDEX realleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 26. through 25 of this Complaint.
- MOLDEX is the exclusive owner of the common law trademark rights 27. in the Green Color Mark. No license or authorization has been granted to MCKEON to use the Green Color Mark or any variations thereon.
- MOLDEX is informed and believes and thereon alleges that 28. MCKEON, with full knowledge of the public awareness and value of MOLDEX's Green Color Mark, has traded on the goodwill associated with the mark and has misled and will continue to mislead the public into assuming a connection between MOLDEX and MCKEON's products by continuing to use MOLDEX's Green Color Mark for its products. This conduct has caused and will continue to cause irreparable damage to MOLDEX's goodwill and reputation and unjustly enrich MCKEON.

Utah, UTAH CODE ANN. § 13-5—1, et seq.; Vermont, VT. STAT. ANN. TIT. 9, §§

- 2451-2480n; Washington, WASH. REV. CODE § 19.86.010; Wisconsin, WIS. STAT. § 100.20; the Colorado Consumer Protection Act, Colo. Rev. Stat. § 6-1-105, et seq., and the unfair and deceptive trade practices statutes of other states, including Delaware, Del. Code Ann. Tit. 6, §§ 2531-36; Georgia, GA. CODE ANN. §§ 10-1-370 to 10-1-375; Hawaii, HAW. REV. STAT. § 481-A; Illinois, 815 ILL. COMP. ANN. 510/1 TO 510/7; Kentucky, Ky. REV. STAT. ANN. §§ 367.10, 367.175; Maine, ME. REV. STAT. TIT. 10, §§ 1211-1216; Minnesota, MINN. STAT. Ann. §§ 325D.43-325D.48; Nebraska, Neb. Rev. Stat. §§ 87-301 – 87-306; Ohio, OHIO REV. CODE ANN. §§ 4165.01 to 4165.04; Oklahoma, OKLA. STAT. TIT. 78, § 75-1-1; and Rhode Island, R.I. GEN. LAWS §§ 6-13.1-1 through 6-13.1-19.
 - 31. MCKEON has caused, and is causing, monetary harm to Moldex. In addition, and independently, MCKEON has caused, and is causing, irreparable harm to Moldex, and unless permanently restrained by this Court, said irreparable injury will continue.
 - 32. MCKEON's conduct described herein is willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive and in conscious disregard of MOLDEX's rights in its Green Color Mark, justifying the imposition of punitive and exemplary damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, MOLDEX prays as follows:

- 33. For injunctive relief, as provided for in 15 U.S.C. §1116, namely for temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief preventing MCKEON and its officers, agents, affiliates, servants, employees, and attorneys, and all those persons acting or attempting to act in concert or participation with them, from directly or indirectly:
- a) manufacturing, advertising, promoting, marketing, or selling its Accused Earplug Products or any other product bearing a trademark or trade dress confusingly similar to MOLDEX's Green Color Mark.

- b) using any false designation of origin or false description, including the appearance of its Accused Earplug Products, that can, or is likely to, lead the consuming public, or individual members thereof, to believe that any goods produced, advertised, promoted, marketed, provided, or sold by MCKEON are in any manner associated or connected with MOLDEX, or are advertised, promoted, marketed, sold, licensed, sponsored, approved or authorized by MOLDEX; and
 - c) unfairly competing with MOLDEX in any manner whatsoever.
- 34. For an order, as provided for in 15 U.S.C. §1118, requiring that all materials bearing and used to produce the infringing mark and trade dress be delivered up and destroyed, and requiring MCKEON to withdraw from the market all infringing products and advertising and promotional material displaying the infringing products.
- 35. For an order directing defendant to file with the Court and serve upon plaintiff's counsel within thirty (30) days after entry of the order of injunction, a report setting forth the manner and form in which MCKEON has complied with the injunction, including the provision relating to destruction and recall of infringing products and materials.
- 36. For an order requiring defendant to account to plaintiff for any and all profits derived by MCKEON from the marketing and sale of products bearing MOLDEX's Green Color Mark.
- 37. For judgment for actual compensatory damages to be proven at the time of trial as provided for in 15 U.S.C. §1117 and applicable state law, including MCKEON's profits or gains of any kind resulting from its acts of infringement and unfair competition.
- 38. For judgment for enhanced damages for MCKEON's willful infringement, as provided for in 15 U.S.C. §1117, including an award of treble damages, and for punitive and exemplary damages as appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff MOLDEX hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues triable by jury. DATED: February 28, 2011 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & **SULLIVAN LLP** Joseph M. Paunovich Attorneys for Plaintiff MOLDEX-METRIC, INC.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge George King and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Alicia G. Rosenberg.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV11- 1742 GHK (AGRx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
NOTICE TO COUNSEL
A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).
Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Southern Division

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

[X] Western Division

312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012

411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053

Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

[] Eastern Division

3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134

Riverside, CA 92501

Case 2:11-cv-01742-GHK-AGR Document	t 1 Filed 02/28/11 Page 13 of 15 Page ID #:24
	DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
MOLDEX-METRIC, INC., a California	CASE NUMBER
corporation, PLAINTIFF(S)	CV11-01742 GHK (AGP
V.	
MCKEON PRODUCTS, INC., a Michigan corporation,	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
ΓΟ: DEFENDANT(S): MCKEON PRODUCTS, INC.	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached x counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Ruber motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Hard South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor, Los Angeles, Califor	le 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer arold Barza, Joe Paunovich, whose address is
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
FEB 2 8 2011	JULIE PRADO
Dated:	By: (SEAL)
	Deputy Clerk
	(Seal of the Court)

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

CV-01A (12/07)

Case 2:11-cv-01742-GHK-AGR Document 1 Filed 02/28/11 Page 14 of 15 Page ID #:25

COPY UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

		CIVI	E COVERSI.			
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check b	oox if you are representing yoursel	f 🔲)	DEI	FENDANTS		
Moldex-Metric, I	nc., a California	а	Mc	Keon Product:	s, Inc., a Mic	hidan
Corporation	_		rporation	o, inc., a mic	iiigaii	
corporación		100	rporacion			
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Ad	ldress and Telephone Number. If	you are repres	senting Atto	rneys (If Known)		
yourself, provide same.)						
	Muhart & Sullivan,	LLP				
	rza, SBN 80888					
	unovich, SBN 2282					
	roa Street, 10th	Floor				
Los Angeles, CA						
(213) 443-3000;	F (213) 443-3100)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	ON (Place an X in one box only.)	ľ	III. CITIZE	NSHIP OF PRINCIPA	L PARTIES - For Diversity	v Cases Only
,	(-			ff and one for defendant.)	y Cuscs Only
				PTF DEF	•	PTF DEF
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	_ ` `		Citizen of This	State	Incorporated or Principal of Business in this State	Place 4 4
	Government Not a	Party)			of Business in this State	
2 U.S. Government Defenda	ant 4 Diversity (Indicate	Citizenshin	Citizen of Anor	her State 2 2	Incorporated and Principa	al Place 5 5
	of Parties in Item I				of Business in Another St	tate
			Citizen or Subi	ect of a 3 3	B Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
			Foreign Cou			00
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in o	one box only.)					
X 1 Original 2 Remo	• •	n	stated or	5 Transferred from anot	her district 6 Multi-	7 Annual to District
: 5	Court Appellate Cou		pened	(specify):	Distric	
<u> </u>				(1,) / .	Litigati	
V. REQUESTED IN COMP	PLAINT: JURY DEMAND:	Y Vec	No (Chec	k 'Yes' only if demanded	in complaint)	
REQUESTED IN COM	EXILT. SORT DEMAND.	LAK I CS	140 (Clice	k ies omy n demanded	in complaint.)	
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.	P. 23: Yes X No		\mathbf{X} M	ONEY DEMANDED IN	COMPLAINT: \$	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (C	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under v	which you are	filing and writ	a a brief statement of acu	no. Do not site invitations	1 -4-4-4 1 12 12 12 1
					•	• •
Federal Trademar	k Infringement ur	nder Se	ction 43	of the Lani	nam Act, 15 US	C Section
1125(a); Federal	and State Unfair	: Compet	tition;	Common Law 7	Trademark Infr	ingement
	ace an X in one box only.)					
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	TO	ORTS	TORTS	PRISONER	LABOR
400 State Reapportionment	110 Insurance	PERSONA	AL INJURY	PERSONAL	PETITIONS	
410 Antitrust	120 Marine	310 Air	plane	PROPERTY	510 Motions to	710 Fair Labor Standards Act
430 Banks and Banking	130 Miller Act		plane Product	370 Other Fraud	Vacate Sentence	
450 Commerce/ICC	140 Negotiable Instrument		bility	371 Truth in Lendin	g Habeas Corpus	Relations
Rates/etc.	150 Recovery of		ault, Libel &	380 Other Personal	530 General	730 Labor/Mgmt.
460 Deportation	Overpayment & Enforcement of		nder I. Employers'	Property Damag		Reporting & Disclosure Act
470 Racketeer Influenced	Judgment		bility	385 Property Damag		740 Railway Labor Ac
Organizations	151 Medicare Act	340 Mai	•	Product Liabilit	1	
480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of Defaulted	1	rine Product	BANKRUPTCY	550 Civil Rights	790 Other Labor
490 Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Excl.		bility	422 Appeal 28 USC	555 Prison Condition	
810 Selective Service	Veterans)		tor Vehicle tor Vehicle	158	FORFEITURE/ PENALTY	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act
850 Securities/Commodities/	153 Recovery of		duct Liability	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	610 Agriculture	PROPERTY RIGHTS
Exchange	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits		er Personal	CIVIL RIGHTS	620 Other Food &	820 Copyrights
USC 3410	160 Stockholders' Suits	Inju		441 Voting	Drug	830 Patent
890 Other Statutory Actions	190 Other Contract	1	sonal Injury-	442 Employment	625 Drug Related	X 840 Trademark
891 Agricultural Act	195 Contract Product		d Malpractice sonal Injury.	443 Housing/Acco- mmodations	Seizure of	SOCIAL SECURITY
892 Economic Stabilization	Liability		duct Liability	444 Welfare	Property 21 USC 881	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)
Act	196 Franchise		oestos Personal	445 American with	630 Liquor Laws	863 DIWC/DIWW
893 Environmental Matters	REAL PROPERTY	Inju	ary Product	Disabilities -	640 R.R. & Truck	(405(g))
894 Energy Allocation Act	210 Land Condemnation		bility	Employment	650 Airline Regs	864 SSID Title XVI
895 Freedom of Info. Act	220 Foreclosure		RATION	446 American with	660 Occupational	865 RSI (405(g))
nation Under Equal	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land		turalization	Disabilities - Other	Safety/Health	FEDERAL TAX SUITS
Access to Justice	245 Tort Product Liability		plication beas Corpus-	440 Other Civil	690 Other '	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or
950 Constitutionality of	290 All Other Real Property	Alie	en Detainee	Rights		Defendant)
State Statutes		465 Oth	ner Immigration	-		871 IRS - Third Party
-	<u> </u>	Act	tions		1	26 USC 7609
		1/1/7	629	/42		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: (Case Number:	ostan mila				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES If yes, list case number(s):	: Has this action be	een previously filed in this co	ourt and dismissed, remanded or closed? X No Yes			
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? X No Yes If yes, list case number(s):						
Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case: (Check all boxes that apply) A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.						
	ict; California Cour	ty outside of this District; S	heet if necessary.) tate if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. httff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).			
County in this District.* Plaintiff Molde - Los Angeles C	-	Inc.	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
			tate if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. ndant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country Defendant McKeon Products, Inc Michigan			
		nty outside of this District; S location of the tract of lan	tate if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. In the control of the control			
County in this District:* All claims aros County	e in Los A	Angeles	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Be Note: In land condemnation case			i, or San Luis Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNI	EY (OR PRO PER):		Date February 28, 2011			
or other papers as required by	law. This form, ap	proved by the Judicial Confe	information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings rence of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed nitiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating t	o Social Security C					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action			
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.				
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as U.S.C. (g))				

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 2 of 2

CV-71 (05/08)